

---

# An Almost Classical Logic for Logic Programming and Nonmonotonic Reasoning

François Bry

<http://www.pms.informatik.uni-muenchen.de>

Institute for Computer Science, University of Munich, Germany

# Summary

---

1. In a Nutshell (p. 3)
2. The Logic  $N^4$  (pp. 4 – 7)
3.  $N^4$  Herbrand Interpretations (p. 8)
4. Nonmonotonic Reasoning (pp. 9 – 10)
5.  $N^4$  Intuitive Meaning (p. 11)
6. Conclusion (p. 12)

# 1. In a Nutshell

---

Two levels of modelling are often needed:

- Specifications (e.g. database tuples, logic program clauses)
- Requirements (e.g. database integrity constraints)

Requirements are often expressed as “denials”, e.g.

$$false \leftarrow p(X) \wedge \neg q(X)$$

suggesting that, in a convenient paraconsistent logic, requirements might be expressed using double negation.

Such a logic,  $N^4$ , is defined.  $N^4$  is “almost classical”.  $N^4$  turns out to be convenient for nonmonotonic reasoning, too.

## 2. The Logic $N^4$

Standard first-order syntax with  $\top$  (verum),  $\perp$  (falsum), and:

- $(F \rightarrow G) := (\neg F \vee G)$
- $(F \leftrightarrow G) := ((\neg F \vee G) \wedge (\neg G \vee F))$

$N^4$  positive literal:

- atom
- doubly negated atom

$N^4$  negative literal:

- negated atom
- threefold negated atom

## 2. The Logic $N^4$ (cont'd)

---

$N^4$  interpretations are defined very much like classical logic interpretations.

A  $N^4$  interpretation assigns relations to

- predicate symbols
- doubly negated predicate symbols

such that  $val(p) \subseteq val(\neg^2 p)$

The truth value of a formula in an  $N^4$  interpretation is defined recursively in terms of the truth value of its subformulas, i.e. compositionally.

## 2. The Logic $N^4$ (cont'd)

Possible valuations of  $p$ ,  $\neg p$ ,  $\neg^2 p$ , and  $\neg^3 p$

- in  $N^4$  interpretations:

$p$	$\neg p$	$\neg^2 p$	$\neg^3 p$
<b>true</b>	<b>false</b>	<b>true</b>	<b>false</b>
<b>false</b>	<b>true</b>	<b>true</b>	<b>false</b>
<b>false</b>	<b>true</b>	<b>false</b>	<b>true</b>

- in  $N^4$  interpretations satisfying  $(\neg p \rightarrow p)$ :

$p$	$\neg p$	$\neg^2 p$	$\neg^3 p$
<b>true</b>	<b>false</b>	<b>true</b>	<b>false</b>
<b>false</b>	<b>true</b>	<b>true</b>	<b>false</b>

## 2. The Logic $N^4$ (cont'd)

Properties (hint: read  $\neg^2 F$  as “required  $F$ ”):

- $F \models_{N^4} \neg^2 F$  (but  $\neg^2 F \not\models_{N^4} F$ )
- $\neg F \models_{N^4} \neg^3 F$  (but  $\neg^3 F \not\models_{N^4} \neg F$ )
- Fourfold negation reduction:  $\neg^4 F \equiv_{N^4} \neg^2 F$
- Laws of excluded middle:  
 $(F \vee \neg F) \equiv_{N^4} (\neg F \vee \neg^2 F) \equiv_{N^4} (\neg^2 F \vee \neg^3 F) \equiv_{N^4} \top$
- Laws of excluded contradiction:  
 $(\neg^2 F \wedge \neg^3 F) \equiv_{N^4} (F \wedge \neg^3 F) \equiv_{N^4} \perp$

# 3. $N^4$ Herbrand Interpretations

Classical definitions naturally extend to  $N^4$ :

- $N^4$  Herbrand base: Set of all ground positive  $N^4$  literals (i.e. ground atoms and doubly negated atoms)
- A  $N^4$  Herbrand Interpretation  $\mathcal{H}$  is characterized by the set  $M$  of ground positive  $N^4$  literals it satisfies:  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(M)$
- Every closed subset  $M$  of the  $N^4$  Herbrand base (i.e. if  $A$  atom and  $A \in M$ , then  $\neg^2 A \in M$ ) characterizes a  $N^4$  Herbrand Interpretation  $\mathcal{H}(M)$
- Order on  $N^4$  Herbrand Interpretations:  $\mathcal{H}(M_1) \preceq \mathcal{H}(M_2)$  iff  $M_1 \subseteq M_2$
- Intersection of  $N^4$  Herbrand Interpretations and minimal  $N^4$  Herbrand models

Property:  $\mathcal{H}(\bigcap_{i \in I} M_i) = \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}(M_i)$



# 4. Nonmonotonic Reasoning

---

A characterization of classical minimal models extends to  $N^4$ :

Let  $M$  be a closed subset of the  $N^4$  Herbrand base (i.e. if  $A$  atom and  $A \in M$ , then  $\neg^2 A \in M$ )

Let  $\tilde{M} = \{\neg L \mid L \text{ in the } N^4 \text{ Herbrand base and } L \notin M\}$

let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a set of formulas

$\mathcal{H}(M)$  is a minimal  $N^4$  Herbrand model of  $\mathcal{S}$  iff

- $\mathcal{H}(M) \models_{N^4} \mathcal{S}$
- For all  $L \in M$   $\mathcal{S} \cup \tilde{M} \models_{N^4} L$

# 4. Nonmon. Reasoning (cont'd)

Minimal  $N^4$  model of  $p \leftarrow \neg p \equiv_{N^4} (\neg^2 p \vee p)$ :

$p$	$\neg p$	$\neg^2 p$
<b>false</b>	<b>false</b>	<b>true</b>

Minimal  $N^4$  models of  $\{b \leftarrow \neg a ; a \leftarrow \neg b\} \equiv_{N^4} \{(b \vee \neg^2 a), (a \vee \neg^2 b)\}$ :

$a$	$\neg a$	$\neg^2 a$	$b$	$\neg b$	$\neg^2 b$
<b>true</b>	<b>false</b>	<b>true</b>	<b>false</b>	<b>true</b>	<b>false</b>
<b>false</b>	<b>false</b>	<b>true</b>	<b>false</b>	<b>false</b>	<b>true</b>
<b>false</b>	<b>true</b>	<b>false</b>	<b>true</b>	<b>false</b>	<b>true</b>

Property: A  $N^4$  Herbrand model of a normal logic program is stable iff it is complete (i.e. classical) and minimal.

## 5. $N^4$ Intuitive Meaning

---

- A  $N^4$  model of  $\mathcal{S}$  in which both  $\neg F$  and  $\neg^2 F$  are true can be seen as a witness of incorrectness of  $\mathcal{S}$ , i.e.
  - incorrectness of the requirements, and/or
  - incorrectness of the implementation
- $N^4$  double negation can be seen as an epistemic modality:
  - $\neg^2 F$  read as “required  $F$ ” (and  $\neg^3 F$  read as “required  $\neg F$ ” or “not required  $F$ ”)
  - this reading fits well with “negation as failure”

# 6. Conclusion

---

$N^4$ : A logic for requirement modeling and nonmonotonic reasoning

- $N^4$  implication is material (i.e.  $(F \rightarrow G) \equiv_{N^4} (\neg F \vee G)$ )
- $N^4$  semantics is compositional
- $N^4$  naturally extends the Herbrand Model Theory of (negation-free) logic programs to normal logic programs
- $N^4$  naturally extends the stable model semantics of normal logic programs: Every normal logic program has a minimal  $N^4$  Herbrand Model which is a stable model if it is a classical model